

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/852,919		05/10/2001	Qingsheng Zhu	279.330US1	4736	
21186	7590	08/19/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. OROPEZA, FI					FRANCES P	
P.O. BOX 29	938		·		<del>.</del>	
MINNEAPO	DLIS, MN	55402		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				3762		

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			14
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/852,919	ZHU ET AL.	V
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frances P. Oropeza	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a apply within the statutory minimum of thind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this commun  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/2	19/04 (Response)		
· <u> </u>	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the mer	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	*	•	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-25 is/are withdress.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.		/
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ad	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			* *
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the □	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	nts have been received.  nts have been received in <i>i</i> iority documents have beer  au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	🗖	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/852,919

Art Unit: 3762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendments/Arguments

1. The Applicant's arguments filed 3/29/04 are convincing, hence the rejections of record are withdrawn and a new grounds of rejection established in the subsequent paragraphs.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chekanov (US 6201991) and in view of Hauck (US 6560489).

Chekanov discloses a method of prevention and treatment of atherosclerosis in the coronary blood vessels and teaches the use of a pulse generator (25) that includes therapy circuits and a system that includes one or two leads to create non-excitatory electrical field to prevent plaque build-up (col. 2 @ 13-34; col. 3 @ 42-49; col. 9 @ 10-13).

As to claims 3, 8, 13, 14 and 15, Chehonov teaches electrode placement in a single location to create an electric field in the targeted vessel(s) (figure 1; col. 1 @ 46-51; col. 2 @ 17-23 and 36-39), and the use of two lead in the area surrounding the targeted vessel to create a electric field in the targeted vessel(s) (col. 1@ 46-51; col. 2 @ 17-23 col. 3 @ 42-49).

As to claim 11, a electrical pulse generating device is taught using a battery, lead, electronic pulse generating circuitry as exemplified by an implanted pacemaker or cardiomyostimulator, which inherently comprises a hermetically sealed housing to protect the device from damage by body fluids (col. 2 @ 25-30).

Chekanov discloses the claimed invention except:

Application/Control Number: 09/852,919 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

delivering sub-stimulation pulses in association with atrial or ventricular depolarization (claims 1, 10, 15, 16),

- using and electrode patch (claims 2 and 12)
- using electrodes on leads in coronary vessels (claims 3, 8, 13, 14, 15) to create the electric fields,
- sensing heart rhythms (claim 4),
- delivering stimulation after the depolarization (during the refractory period) (claim 5, 18, 20),
- providing electrical field spacing about 10 seconds apart (claim 6, 17),
- providing two electrodes on the same lead (claim 7),
- generating the electrical field during a refractory period at a higher strength and generating the electrical field during a non-refractory period at a lower strength (claim 9), and
- a controller and therapy circuit to provide heart rhythm management (claim 19).

Hauck teaches the use of a therapeutic device for treating diseases of the cardiac muscle.

As to delivering sub-threshold depolarization (claims 1, 10, 15, 16), Hauck teaches electrical stimulation using sub-threshold stimulation in association with a ventricular or atrial depolarization for the purpose of producing an electrical field that does not interfere with the heart rhythm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have delivered sub-stimulation pulses in association with atrial or ventricular depolarization in the Chekanov system in order to avoid inducing depolarization of the cardiac

tissue, resulting in an unwanted cardiac contraction that induces a life threatening cardiac arrhythmia, placing the patient in grave danger (abstract; col. 1 @ 18-21; col. 3 @ 58 - col. 4 @ 50).

As to an electrode patch (claims 2, 12), Hauck teaches electrical stimulation using an electrode patch for the purpose of producing a targeted electrical field. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have used an electrode patch in the modified Chekanov system in order to direct the electrical field to the cardiac tissue needing treatment (col. 1 @ 18-21; col. 5 @ 23-26; col. 6 @ 2-4).

As to electrodes on leads (claims 3, 8, 13, 14, 15), Hauck teaches electrical stimulation using lead(s) and electrode combinations implanted in vessels, a single electrode on a lead or an electrode on two different leads, for the purpose of producing a targeted electrical field. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have use using lead(s) and electrode combinations implanted in vessels, a single electrode on a lead or an electrode on two different leads in the modified Chekanov system in secure the electrode in place so the electrical field is directed to the cardiac tissue needing treatment (figures 3, 4; col. 1 @ 18-21; col. 5 @ 23-26; col. 6 @ 12-20).

As to sensing heart rhythms (claim 4), Hauck teaches therapeutic stimulation using sensing of the cardiac rhythm for the purpose coordinating the timing of the therapeutic stimulation with the sensed heart rhythm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have sensed the heart rhythms in the modified Chekanov system in order to avoid the treatment pulse inducing a life threatening cardiac arrhythmia, placing the patient in grave danger (abstract; col. 3 @ 66 – col. 4 @ 7;

Art Unit: 3762

col. 4 @ 19-21; col. 5 @ 34-35).

As to generating the electric field after the heart depolarization/ during the refractory period (claims 5, 18, 20), Hauck teaches electrical stimulation using therapeutic stimulation after the heart depolarization/ during the refractory period for the purpose of producing an electrical field that does not adversely impact the heart rhythm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have delivered the field after the heart depolarization/ during a refractory period in the modified Chekanov system in order to avoid inducing depolarization of the cardiac tissue, resulting in an unwanted cardiac contraction that induces a life threatening cardiac arrhythmia, placing the patient in grave danger (abstract; col. 1 @ 18-21; col. 3 @ 58 – col. 4 @ 50; col. 4 @ 26-29 and 43-50).

As to providing the stimulation about 10 seconds apart (claims 6, 17), Hauck teaches electrical stimulation using stimulation frequency in the range of two fields per second to two hundred fields per second and teaches the significant variability of therapeutic pulse requirement of different patients (col. 6 @ 54-57; col. 3 @ 60-64). The instant invention teaches field spacing between once every minute to two fields every three seconds (specification – page 7, line 20-24), hence teaching significant variation in the timing of the electric field. These disclosures provide a clear suggestion that the timing of the electric fields can be modified according to patient needs to optimize the impact of the electrical field on the patient's condition. The determination of the most appropriate timing of the electrical field by routine experimentation would, therefore, be prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the cardiac tissue stimulation art. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have used experimentation to determine the optimum timing for the electrical field

Application/Control Number: 09/852,919 Page 6

Art Unit: 3762

generation in the modified Chekanov system in order to effectively and efficiently treat the cardiac tissue (col. 1 @ 18-21).

As to providing two electrodes (claim 7), Hauck teaches cardiac treatment using two electrodes on the same lead for the purpose of directing the treatment field to the region where the treatment is required. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used two electrodes on the same lead in the modified Chekanov system in order to avoid energy loss caused by lack of proper targeting of the sub-threshold stimulation pulses (col. 1 @ 18-21; col. 6 @ 28-36).

As to claim 9, Hauck teaches cardiac treatment using subthreshold electrical current to generate an electrical field during a cardiac cycle, the stimulation during a refractory period at a higher strength and generate the electrical field during a non-refractory period at a lower strength (col. 4 @ 19-37) for the purpose of providing therapeutic subthreshold electrical fields. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have generated an electrical field during a refractory period at a higher strength and generate the electrical field during a non-refractory period at a lower strength in the modified Chekanov system in order to avoid the treatment pulse inducing a life threatening cardiac arrhythmia, placing the patient in grave danger (abstract; col. 1 @ 18-21; col. 3 @ 58 – col. 4 @ 61; col. 4 @ 43-50).

As to a controller and therapy circuits (claim 19), Hauck teaches cardiac treatment using a controller and therapy circuits as an integral part of the therapy device for the purpose treating arrhythmias of the heart. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used a controller and therapy circuits in the modified Chekanov

Application/Control Number: 09/852,919

Art Unit: 3762

system in order to provide treatment for the potentially life arrhythmic conditions present in

many cardiac patients with compromised cardiac systems (col. 1 @ 18-21; col. 4 @ 37-38).

Statutory Basis

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Fran Oropeza whose telephone number is (703) 605-4355. The

Examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday from 9 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

Supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 306-4520 for regular and

for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Frances P. Oropeza Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3762

40/11/04

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Congel D Lyky

Page 7